arXiv:1606.06265v1 [math.CO] 20 Jun 2016

Triangle-free planar graphs with the smallest independence number*

Zdeněk Dvořák† Tomáš Masařík‡ Jan Musílek§
Ondřej Pangrác¶

June 21, 2016

Abstract

Steinberg and Tovey [10] proved that every n-vertex planar triangle-free graph has an independent set of size at least $(n+1)/3$, and described an infinite class of tight examples. We show that all n-vertex planar triangle-free graphs except for this one infinite class have independent sets of size at least $(n+2)/3$.

By a well-known theorem of Grötzsch [8], every planar triangle-free graph is 3-colorable. This clearly implies that such a graph G with n vertices has an independent set of size at least $n/3$, i.e., $\alpha(G) \geq n/3$ in the usual notation. This can be slightly improved—using a strengthening of Grötzsch's theorem, Steinberg and Tovey [10] proved that the equality is never achieved in this bound.

Theorem 1 (Steinberg and Tovey [10])**.** *If G is an n-vertex planar triangle-free graph, then $\alpha(G) \geq (n+1)/3$.*

*Work on this paper was supported by project 14-19503S (Graph coloring and structure) of Czech Science Foundation.

†Computer Science Institute (CSI), Charles University in Prague. E-mail: rakdver@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz.

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University in Prague. E-mail: tarken@kam.mff.cuni.cz.

§Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University in Prague. E-mail: stinovlas@kam.mff.cuni.cz.

¶Computer Science Institute (CSI), Charles University in Prague. E-mail: pangrac@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz.

They also described an infinite class $\mathcal{G}$ of planar triangle-free graphs (see Definition 3 below) such that $\alpha(G) = (|V(G)| + 1)/3$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. In this paper, we give a new proof of their result, which also implies that $\mathcal{G}$ contains all the graphs for that the bound is tight (throughout the paper, we only consider simple graphs without loops or parallel edges).

Theorem 2. *If G is a planar triangle-free graph with n vertices and $G \notin \mathcal{G}$, then $\alpha(G) \geq (n + 2)/3$.*

Let us mention several related results. A better known (and much harder) relative of our problem concerns independent sets in unconstrained planar graphs. By Four Color Theorem [1, 2], each n-vertex planar graph has an independent set of size at least $n/4$. This bound is tight, and unlike our case, the (infinitely many) known examples do not seem to exhibit an easily discernible structure. Indeed, even the algorithmic problem of testing whether an n-vertex planar graph has an independent set greater than $n/4$ has no known polynomial-time solution [9, 7].

The *fractional chromatic number* χ_f of a graph G is the minimum value of a/b over all positive integers $a \geq b$ for which there exists a coloring that assigns each vertex of G a subset of $\{1, \ldots, a\}$ of size b such that the sets assigned to adjacent vertices are disjoint. It is easy to see that $\chi_f(G) \leq \chi(G)$ and $\alpha(G) \geq |V(G)|/\chi_f(G)$. Hence, the results above indicate that the fractional chromatic number of n-vertex planar triangle-free graphs might be bounded by $3 - 3/(n + 1)$. As Dvořák et al. [6] proved, this is the case for planar triangle-free graphs of maximum degree at most 4; in general, they were only able to obtain a weaker upper bound $3 - 3/(3n + 1)$.

It is natural to ask whether the bound from Theorem 2 can be improved, at the expense of having further families of exceptional graphs. Algorithmically, this question was answered by Dvořák and Mnich [3, 4], who proved that if an n-vertex planar triangle-free graph does not have an independent set larger than $(n+k)/3$, then its tree-width is $O(\sqrt{k})$. Using their techique, a more detailed answer can be given, showing that all such graphs are created from graphs of bounded size by a construction similar to the one used to define the class $\mathcal{G}$ below; we will give details in a followup paper. For small values of k, an exact description of exceptional graphs can be obtained using the argument of the current paper (we decided not to present them here, since the number of exceptional classes grows quickly and dealing with them would obscure the idea).



Figure 1: Path–diamond replacement.

1 The extremal graphs

The class $\mathcal{G}$ is defined via the following construction, see Figure 1. A 5-cycle $C = u_1z_1z_2u_2w$ in a graph G, where u_1 and u_2 have a common neighbor $x_1 \notin V(C)$, w is adjacent to another vertex $x_2 \notin V(C)$, and $\deg_G(u_1) = \deg_G(u_2) = \deg_G(w) = 3$ and $\deg_G(z_1) = \deg_G(z_2) = 2$, is called a *diamond*. Let G_1 be a graph and let $x_1v_1v_2x_2$ be a path in G_1 with $\deg_{G_1}(v_1) = \deg_{G_1}(v_2) = 2$. Let G_2 be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of $G_1 - \{v_1, v_2\}$ and a 5-cycle $u_1z_1z_2u_2w$ by adding the edges x_1u_1, x_1u_2 and x_2w. We say that G_2 is obtained from G_1 by a *path–diamond* replacement. Conversely, let G_2' be a graph containing a diamond $C = u_1z_1z_2u_2w$, and let G_1' be the graph obtained from $G_2' - V(C)$ by adding a path $x_1v_1v_2x_2$ with new vertices v_1 and v_2. We say that G_1' is obtained from G_2' by *replacing a diamond by the path* $x_1v_1v_2x_2$. Note that both of these operations preserve planarity and do not create triangles.

Definition 3. *The class $\mathcal{G}$ consists of the path P_2 on two vertices, the 5-cycle, and all graphs obtained from the 5-cycle by a repeated application of the path–diamond replacement.*

Let $C_5^\dagger$ denote the graph obtained from the 5-cycle by the path–diamond replacement (see Figure 4), and let $C_5^\ddagger$ denote the graph obtained from $C_5^\dagger$ by the path–diamond replacement (see Figure 3). Note that these graphs and their plane drawings are unique up to isomorphism.

2 Reducible configurations

An n-vertex graph G is *tight* if G is planar, triangle-free, and $\alpha(G) \leq (n + 1)/3$.

We now describe several *reducible configurations* (see Figure 2), which allow local transformations in plane triangle-free graphs that preserve tightness; this will lead to a natural inductive proof of Theorem 2. For each



Figure 2: Reducible configurations.

configuration (with the exception of Conf(5), which is handled separately in Lemma 4) we specify the corresponding local transformation, resulting in a *reduced graph*. We also introduce the notion of *interference with the outer face*, which is needed later in the proof that one of these configurations appears in each plane triangle-free graph.

Let G be a plane triangle-free graph with the outer face bounded by a cycle K.

- Configuration Conf(1) consists of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ of degree at most 2. The reduced graph is obtained by deleting v and all its neighbors. The configuration interferes with the outer face if $v \in V(K)$.

- Configuration Conf(2) consists of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ of degree 3 with neighbors u, w, and w', such that G contains no path of length 3 between w and w'. The reduced graph is obtained by deleting u and v, and by identifying w and w' to a single vertex and suppressing the parallel edges. The configuration interferes with the outer face if $\{v, w, w'\} \cap V(K) \neq \emptyset$.

- Configuration Conf(3) consists of a 4-face $C = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ in G such that

$\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_3) = 3$. The reduced graph is obtained by deleting $V(C)$ and the neighbors of v_1 and v_3. The configuration interferes with the outer face if $\{v_1, v_3\} \cap V(K) \neq \emptyset$.

- Configuration Conf(4) consists of a 5-face $C = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$ in G with $\deg(v_1) = \ldots = \deg(v_4) = 3$, such that, denoting for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ the neighbor of v_i outside of C by u_i, $G - V(C)$ contains no path of length at most 2 between u_1 and u_4, and no path of length 1 or 3 between u_2 and u_3, and $u_1u_2, u_3u_4 \notin E(G)$. The reduced graph is obtained by deleting $V(C)$, adding the edge u_1u_4, and identifying u_2 with u_3 to a single vertex and suppressing the parallel edges. The configuration interferes with the outer face if $\{v_1, \ldots, v_4, u_1, \ldots, u_4\} \cap V(K) \neq \emptyset$.

- Configuration Conf(5) consists of a 4-face $v_1v_2v_3v_4$ in G such that $\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_2) = 3$. The configuration interferes with the outer face if $\{v_1, v_2\} \cap V(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Configuration Conf(5) is dealt with using the following observation.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a plane triangle-free graph. If G contains the configuration Conf(5), then it also contains the configuration Conf(2).*

Proof. Let $v_1v_2v_3v_4$ be a 4-face in G with $\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_2) = 3$. If G contains no path of length three between v_1 and v_3, or no path of length three between v_2 and v_4, then Conf(2) appears in G. However, both such paths cannot be present, since G is plane and triangle-free. □

Let us now argue that the described reductions preserve tightness.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a plane triangle-free graph containing one of the reducible configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(4), and let G' be the corresponding reduced graph. Then G' is planar and triangle-free. Moreover, there exists a positive integer k such that $|V(G')| \geq |V(G)| - 3k$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + k$.*

Proof. Let us consider each of the configurations separately; we use the same labels for the vertices of the configurations as in their definition. Let S denote the largest independent set in G'.

Conf(1) We delete v and its (at most two) neighbors, and thus $|V(G')| \geq |V(G)| - 3$. Furthermore, $S \cup \{v\}$ is an independent set in G, and thus $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 1$.

Conf(2) The identification of w with w' does not create any triangles, since G contains no path of length 3 between these two vertices. Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| - 3$. Let z denote the vertex created by the identification of w and w'. If $z \in S$, then $(S \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w, w'\}$ is an independent set in G; otherwise, $S \cup \{v\}$ is an independent set in G. Consequently, $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 1$.

Conf(3) Note that $|V(G')| \geq |V(G)| - 6$, and $S \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ is an independent set in G, implying $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 2$.

Conf(4) Suppose G' contains a triangle. Since the distance in $G - V(C)$ between u_1 and u_4 is greater than 2 and $G - V(C)$ contains no path of length 3 between u_2 and u_3, we conclude that the triangle contains both the edge u_1u_4 and the vertex z created by the identification of u_2 and u_3. By planarity, it follows that $u_1u_2, u_3u_4 \in E(G)$. However, this is forbidden by the assumptions of the configuration.

Note that $|V(G')| \geq |V(G)| - 6$. Since $u_1u_4 \in E(G')$, by symmetry we can assume that $u_1 \notin S$. If $z \in S$, then $(S \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{v_1, u_3, u_4\}$ is an independent set in G; otherwise, $S \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ is an independent set in G. Hence, $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 2$.

□

Corollary 6. *If G is a tight graph containing one of the reducible configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(4), then the corresponding reduced graph G' is also tight.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, G' is planar and triangle-free. Furthermore, there exists $k > 0$ such that $|V(G')| \geq |V(G)| - 3k$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + k$. Since G is tight, we have $\alpha(G) \leq (|V(G)| + 1)/3$. It follows that

$$\alpha(G') \leq \alpha(G) - k \leq (|V(G)| - 3k + 1)/3 \leq (|V(G')| + 1)/3.$$

Therefore, G' is also tight. □

3 Excluding the configurations

In this section, we argue that tight graphs cannot contain the reducible configurations. Let us start with some observations on diamonds.

Lemma 7. *Let G be a graph containing a diamond $C = u_1z_1z_2u_2w$, and let G' be obtained from G by replacing the diamond C by the path $x_1v_1v_2x_2$.*

Then $|V(G)| = |V(G')| + 3$ *and* $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G') + 1$. *Moreover, for every independent set* S' *of* G'*, there exists an independent set* S *of* G *such that* $|S| = |S'| + 1$ *and* $S \setminus V(C) = S' \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$.

Proof. Consider any independent set S' of G'; the independent set S in G of size $|S'| + 1$ can be obtained from $S' \cup \{z_2\}$ by replacing v_1 by u_1 and replacing v_2 by w. Hence, $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 1$.

Conversely, consider any maximal independent set S of G; note that if $u_1, u_2 \in S$, then $S \setminus \{u_2\} \cup \{z_2\}$ is an independent set of the same size, and if $|\{u_1, u_2\} \cap S| \leq 1$, then either z_1 or z_2 belongs to S by the maximality of S. Hence, by symmetry we can assume that $z_2 \in S$, and an independent set in G' of size $|S| - 1$ can be obtained from $S \setminus \{z_2\}$ by replacing u_1 by v_1 and replacing w by v_2. This implies that $\alpha(G') \geq \alpha(G) - 1$.

Combining the inequalities, we conclude that $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G') + 1$. □

Let us remark that Lemma 7 implies that an n-vertex graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\alpha(G) = (n + 1)/3$. We say that G is a *minimum counterexample* (to Theorem 2) if G is a tight graph not belonging to $\mathcal{G}$ with the smallest number of vertices (our aim is to prove that no such counterexample exists).

Corollary 8. *Minimum counterexamples do not contain diamonds.*

Proof. Suppose that a minimum counterexample G contains a diamond. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by replacing the diamond by a path. Since G is tight, Lemma 7 implies that G' is tight, and by the minimality of G, we conclude that $G' \in \mathcal{G}$. However, G is obtained from G' by a path–diamond replacement, and thus $G \in \mathcal{G}$, which is a contradiction. □

Next, we show a useful fact about maximum independent sets in graphs from the class $\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 9. *Consider any graph* $G \in \mathcal{G}$*, and let* f *be a face of a plane drawing of* G *such that* f *is not incident with any vertex of degree at most two. Then there exists an independent set* $S \subseteq V(G)$ *such that* $|S| = (|V(G)| + 1)/3$ *and* $S \cap V(f) = \emptyset$.

Proof. We proceed by the induction on the number of vertices of G; hence, assume that the claim holds for all graphs with less than $|V(G)|$ vertices. Since f is not incident with any vertices of degree at most two, G is not P_2, the 5-cycle, or the graph $C_5^\dagger$.

Suppose that G is the graph $C_5^\ddagger$. This graph has a unique plane drawing, with two faces not incident with degree 2 vertices. An independent set of size 4 disjoint from one such face $v_1 \ldots v_5$ is depicted in Figure 3; the case of the other face is symmetric.



Figure 3: A maximum independent set in $C_5^{\ddagger}$.

Finally, suppose that G is any other graph in $\mathcal{G}$. Observe that G contains a diamond C such that f is not incident with any of the edges of the diamond. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by replacing the diamond C by a path, with the natural drawing in the plane preserving the face f. By the induction hypothesis, G' contains an independent set S' of size $(|V(G')| + 1)/3 = (|V(G)| + 1)/3 - 1$ disjoint from $V(f)$. Lemma 7 implies that G contains an independent set of size $|S'| + 1 = (|V(G)| + 1)/3$ disjoint from $V(f)$. □

We are now ready to show that minimum counterexamples cannot contain Conf(1).

Lemma 10. *A minimum counterexample has minimum degree at least three.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a minimum counterexample containing a vertex v of degree $d \leq 2$, i.e., the configuration Conf(1). Let G' be the corresponding reduced graph (obtained from G by removing v and its neighbors), and note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| - d - 1$. By Lemma 5, we have $\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G') + 1$, which by Theorem 1 implies $\alpha(G) \geq (|V(G')| + 4)/3 = (|V(G)| + 3 - d)/3$. Since G is tight, we conclude that $d = 2$ and G' is tight. By the minimality of G, it follows that $G' \in \mathcal{G}$.

Let z_1 and z_2 be the neighbors of v in G. Note that there exists a face f of G' such that the path z_1vz_2 of G is drawn within f. Let N denote the set of vertices in $V(f)$ that are adjacent in G with z_1 or z_2. Observe that every maximum independent set of G' intersects N, as otherwise this independent set together with $\{z_1, z_2\}$ would give an independent set in G of size greater than $(|V(G)| + 1)/3$.

If G' is a path on two vertices, it follows that $N = V(G')$, and since G is triangle-free, we conclude that G is a 5-cycle and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If G' is a 5-cycle $x_1 \dots x_5$, then by symmetry we can assume that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \subseteq N$, and since G is triangle-free, it follows that say z_1 is adjacent to x_1 and x_3, and z_2 is



Figure 4: The graph $C_5^\dagger$.

adjacent to x_2; consequently, G is isomorphic to $C_5^\dagger$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$. In both cases, we obtain a contradiction.

Next, consider the case G' is isomorphic to $C_5^\dagger$; we label its vertices as in Figure 4. By symmetry, we can assume that $f = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$. Since N intersects the maximum independent sets $\{u_1, u_3, v_i\}$ for $i \in \{3, 4\}$, and $\{u_1, v_2, v_5\}$, we can also assume that $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subseteq N$. Since G is triangle-free, it follows that say z_1 is adjacent to v_2 and v_4, and z_2 is adjacent to v_3; consequently, G is isomorphic to $C_5^\ddagger$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, which is again a contradiction.

Finally, consider the case that G' is any other graph in $\mathcal{G}$. Since N intersects all maximum independent sets of G', Lemma 9 implies that f is incident with a vertex of degree two of G'. However, then G' contains a diamond C such that the faces incident with C are distinct from f. It follows that C is also a diamond in G, which contradicts Corollary 8. □

Finally, let us exclude all other configurations.

Lemma 11. *A minimum counterexample does not contain any of the reducible configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5).*

Proof. By Lemmas 4 and 10, it suffices to show that a minimum counterexample G does not contain any of the configurations Conf(2), ..., Conf(4). Suppose for a contradiction that G contains one of these configurations, and let G' be the corresponding reduced graph. By Corollary 6, G' is tight, and by the minimality of G, we conclude that $G' \in \mathcal{G}$. Observe that since G has minimum degree at least three, each reduction results in a graph with at least two non-adjacent vertices; consequently, $G' \neq P_2$.

Let D denote the set of vertices of G' of degree at most 2. Since G has minimum degree at least three, all vertices of degree at most two in G' arise in the reduction. Observe that either all vertices of D are incident with one face of G' (when Conf(3) is being reduced), or there exists a vertex $x \in V(G')$ such that all vertices of D that are neither equal nor adjacent to x are incident

with one face of G' and form an independent set (when Conf(2) or Conf(4) is being reduced; x is the vertex created by the identification of two vertices of G). The latter condition is false for all graphs in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{P_2\}$. The only graph in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{P_2\}$ satisfying the former condition is the 5-cycle.

However, a straightforward case analysis shows that no triangle-free graph of minimum degree at least three containing Conf(3) reduces to a 5-cycle. This is a contradiction. □

4 Unavoidability

We finish the proof by showing that every plane triangle-free graph contains one of the configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5). Our proof is motivated by a similar argument of [5]. To deal with short separating cycles, we need to prove a stronger claim.

We say that a vertex in a plane graph G is *internal* if it is not incident with the outer face of G. For a cycle C in a plane graph G, let G_C denote the subgraph of G drawn in the closed disk bounded by C. Let $C_{6,c}$ denote the plane graph consisting of a 6-cycle that forms its outer face and a chord separating its interior to two 4-faces, and let $C_{6,v}$ denote the plane graph consisting of a 6-cycle C that forms its outer face and a vertex v adjacent to every other vertex of C; see Figure 5. A cycle C in a plane graph G is *dangerous* if its length is at most 6, C does not bound the outer face of G, and G_C is distinct from C itself, $C_{6,c}$ and $C_{6,v}$.

Lemma 12. *Let G be a plane triangle-free graph with the outer face bounded by a ($\leq$6)-cycle K, such that G is distinct from K itself, $C_{6,c}$ and $C_{6,v}$. If G does not contain any dangerous cycle, then it contains one of the configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5) that does not interfere with the outer face.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that every configuration Conf(1), ..., Conf(5) in G interferes with its outer face. In particular, since G does not contain Conf(1) not interfering with the outer face, all internal vertices of G have degree at least three (and since K is a cycle, all vertices of K have degree at least two in G). Furthermore, K is an induced cycle, since $G \neq C_{6,c}$ is triangle-free and contains no dangerous cycles. We can assume that G is connected; otherwise, G has a component G_0 disjoint from K, and this component either has a vertex of degree at most two forming Conf(1) not interfering with the outer face, or a face bounded by a ($\leq$5)-cycle K_0; in the latter case, we can consider G_0 drawn with K_0 as its outer face instead of G.

We now proceed by a discharging argument. Each vertex v gets initial charge $c_0(v) = \deg(v) - 4$, and each face f gets initial charge $c_0(f) = |f| - 4$.



Figure 5: Exceptional graphs in Lemma 12.

By Euler's formula, the sum of the initial charges is

$$\sum_{v\in V}(\deg(v)-4)+\sum_{f\in F}(|f|-4) = (2|E|-4|V|)+(2|E|-4|F|)$$
$$= 4(|E|-|V|-|F|) = -8.$$

Next, we redistribute the charge according to the following rules.

Rule 0: A non-outer face incident with a vertex $v \in V(K)$ of degree two sends $1/3$ to v.

Rule 1: Each (non-outer) face incident with an internal vertex v of degree three sends $1/3$ to v.

Rule 2: Let f be a non-outer 4-face incident with $k \geq 1$ vertices of $V(K)$, and let $v \in V(K)$ be a vertex incident with f. If f is incident with an internal vertex of degree three, then v sends $\frac{1}{3k}$ to f.

Rule 3: Let f be a (non-outer) 5-face sharing an edge uv with a 6-face g, where u and v are internal vertices of degree 3. Then g sends $1/3$ to f.

Rule 4: Let f be a (non-outer) 5-face, let u be an internal vertex of degree three incident with f, and let v be the neighbor of u not incident with f. If $v \in V(K)$, then v sends $1/3$ to f.

Let c denote the final charge obtained from c_0 by applying all the rules. Note that no charge is created or lost, and thus the sum of the final charges is still -8. The charge of the outer face is unchanged, equal to $|K|-4$.

Let us first analyze the charge of a non-outer face $f = v_1 \dots v_{|f|}$. If $|f| \geq 7$, then f only sends charge by Rules 0 and 1 to incident vertices, and thus $c(f) \geq c_0(f) - |f|/3 = \frac{2}{3}|f| - 4 > 0$.

Suppose that $|f| = 6$. If f does not send charge by Rule 3, then $c(f) \geq \frac{2}{3}|f| - 4 = 0$. Let us consider the case that f sends charge by Rule 3 say to the face sharing the edge v_2v_3; hence, v_2 and v_3 are internal vertices of degree

three. If v_1 is an internal vertex, then v_2 and its three neighbors form Conf(2) (G contains no path P of length three between v_1 and v_3, as P together with the path $v_1v_2v_3$ would form a dangerous 5-cycle) that does not interfere with the outer face. Similarly, we can exclude the case that v_4 is internal. Since v_2 and v_3 are internal vertices, it follows that v_1 and v_4 are not vertices of K of degree two. Hence, f does not send charge to v_1 and v_4, sends at most $1/3$ to each of v_2, v_3, v_5, and v_6, and sends at most $2/3$ in total by Rule 3 to the faces incident with edges v_2v_3 and v_5v_6. It follows that $c(f) \geq c_0(f) - 2 = 0$.

Next, suppose that $|f| = 5$. Since $G \neq K$, observe that f is incident with at most three vertices of K of degree two, and if f is incident with at least one vertex of degree two, then it is incident with at least two vertices of K of degree at least three. In this case, f sends $1/3$ to at most three vertices by Rules 0 and 1, and $c(f) \geq c_0(f) - 1 = 0$. Hence, we can assume that f is incident with no vertices of degree two. Let p be the number of internal vertices of degree three incident with f whose neighbor not incident with f is internal, and let q be the number of 6-faces that share with f an edge joining two internal vertices of degree three. By Rules 1, 3, and 4, we have $c(f) \geq c_0(f) - (p-q)/3 = (3+q-p)/3$, and thus if $c(f) < 0$, then $p \geq q+4$; i.e., either $p = 4$ and $q = 0$, or $p = 5$ and $q \leq 1$.

Hence, we can assume that $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ are internal vertices of degree three such that their neighbors $u_1, \ldots, u_4$ not incident with f are internal, and that the edge v_2v_3 is not incident with a 6-face. If $G - V(f)$ contains a path of length at most 2 between u_1 and u_4, then let C be the 6-cycle consisting of this path and the path $u_1v_1v_5v_4u_2$. Since C is not dangerous, the disk bounded by C cannot contain f, and thus v_5 is an internal vertex and G_C contains all its neighbors. Since $\deg(v_5) \geq 3$, G_C is either $C_{6,c}$ or $C_{6,v}$, and in either case, v_5 is an internal vertex of degree three and v_1v_5 is incident with a 4-face. However, this implies that G contains Conf(5) that does not interfere with the outer face.

Thus, we can assume that $G - V(f)$ contains no path of length at most 2 between u_1 and u_4. Similar argument shows that $u_1u_2, u_3u_4 \notin E(G)$ and (using the fact that v_2v_3 is not incident with a 6-face) $G - V(f)$ contains no path of length 1 or 3 between u_2 and u_3. Therefore, f forms an appearance of Conf(4) in G, and since $u_1, \ldots, u_4$ are internal, this configuration does not interfere with the outer face.

Finally, suppose that $|f| = 4$. If say v_1 is a vertex of K of degree two, then since K is an induced cycle, it follows that v_3 is an internal vertex. Let C be the cycle in $K + v_2v_3v_4$ distinct from K and the boundary of f. Since C is not dangerous and $\deg(v_3) \geq 3$, we conclude that G_C is either $C_{6,c}$ or $C_{6,v}$. The former is excluded, since G is not isomorphic to $C_{6,v}$. In the latter case, G contains configuration Conf(5) not interfering with the outer

face. This is a contradiction, and thus no vertex of f has degree two, and f sends no charge by Rule 0. Let p denote the number of internal vertices of degree three incident with f. If $p \geq 2$, then G contains Conf(3) or Conf(5) not interfering with the outer face. If $p = 0$, then $c(f) = c_0(f) = 0$. Hence, suppose that $p = 1$, and say v_1 is an internal vertex of degree three. Since G does not contain dangerous cycles, no path between v_2 and v_4 has length 3. Thus, v_1 and its neighbors form an appearance of Conf(2), which must interfere with the outer face. Consequently, at least one of v_2 or v_4 belongs to $V(K)$, and $c(f) = 0$ by Rule 2.

The preceding case analysis shows that the final charge of non-outer faces is non-negative. Let us now consider an internal vertex $v \in V(G)$. If $\deg(v) \geq 4$, then v neither sends nor receives charge and $c(v) = c_0(v) \geq 0$. If $\deg(v) = 3$, then v receives charge from all incident faces by Rule 1, and $c(v) = c_0(v) + 1 = 0$.

Finally, let $v \in V(K)$ be a vertex incident with the outer face. If $\deg(v) = 2$, then v receives $1/3$ by Rule 0 and does not send any charge (as we argued before, non-outer 4-faces are not incident with degree 2 vertices, and thus Rule 2 does not apply), and $c(v) = c_0(v) + 1/3 = -5/3$. If $\deg(v) \geq 3$, then v sends at most $1/6$ to each of the 2 incident non-outer faces sharing an edge with K by Rule 2, at most $1/3$ to each of the $\deg(v) - 3$ other incident non-outer faces by Rule 2, and at most $1/3$ for each of $\deg(v) - 2$ incident internal vertices by Rule 4, giving the final charge $c(v) \geq c_0(v) - \frac{2}{3}(\deg(v) - 2) = (\deg(v) - 8)/3 \geq -5/3$.

In summary, all non-outer faces and internal vertices of G have non-negative final charge and each vertex v incident with the outer face has final charge at least $-5/3$. Furthermore, $c(v) = -5/3$ only if $\deg(v) = 2$, or if $\deg(v) = 3$, both incident non-outer faces have length 4, and v is adjacent to an internal vertex of degree three.

It follows that the sum of the final charges is greater or equal to the sum of the final charges of the outer face and its incident vertices, which is at least $|K| - 4 - \frac{5}{3}|K| = -4 - \frac{2}{3}|K|$. Since the sum of the final charges is -8, we conclude that $|K| = 6$ and all vertices incident with K have final charge $-5/3$. This is only possible if every vertex $v \in V(K)$ has degree 2 or 3, all non-outer faces that share edge with K have length 4, and all internal vertices with a neighbor in K have degree three (not all vertices of K have degree 2 since G is connected and $G \neq K$). Since K is an induced cycle and G does not contain Conf(5) not interfering with the outer face, we conclude that each 4-face whose boundary intersects K shares exactly 2 edges with K, and thus G is isomorphic to $C_{6,v}$. This is a contradiction. □

Corollary 13. *Every plane triangle-free graph contains one of the configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5).*

Proof. Let G be a plane triangle-free graph, without loss of generality connected. If G contains a vertex of degree at most 2, then Conf(1) appears in G. Hence, assume that the minimum degree of G is at least three. Then, G contains a face bounded by a cycle K of length at most 5. Re-draw G if necessary so that K bounds the outer face of G. Since the minimum degree of G is at least three, G is not a cycle, $C_{6,c}$ or $C_{6,v}$.

Let K_1 be a dangerous (≤ 5)-cycle in G such that G_{K_1} is minimal (we set $K_1 = K$ if no (≤ 5)-cycle in G is dangerous). Let K_2 be a dangerous cycle of G with $K_2 \subseteq G_{K_1}$ such that the number of vertices of G_{K_2} is minimum (we set $K_2 = K$ if G contains no dangerous cycle). By Lemma 12, G_{K_2} contains one of the reducible configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5) that does not interfere with its outer face K_2. Let γ denote this configuration. We claim that γ is also a reducible configuration in G. Let us discuss the configurations separately.

Suppose that γ is Conf(2); i.e., G_{K_2} contains a vertex v of degree three with neighbors u, w, w' such that there exists no path of length 3 between w and w' in G_{K_2}, and since γ does not interfere with the outer face of G_{K_2}, we have $v, w, w' \notin V(K_2)$. Hence, v has degree 3 in G as well. Furthermore, if there exists a path of length 3 between w and w' in G, then there exist adjacent vertices $z, z' \in V(K_2)$ such that $zz' \notin E(G_{K_2})$ and $wz, w'z' \in E(G)$. Since zz' is a chord of K_2 and G is triangle-free, it follows that $|K_2| = 6$ and z and z' are opposite vertices of K_2, i.e., $K_2 = zx_1x_2z'y_1y_2$. Since $|K_1| \leq 5$, the cycle K_1 has no chord, and since $z, z' \in V(G_{K_2}) \subseteq V(G_{K_1})$, we conclude that $zz' \in E(G_{K_1})$. Since the 5-cycle $C = vwzz'w'$ separates x_1, x_2 from y_1, y_2, it is dangerous and $G_C \subsetneq G_{K_1}$; this contradicts the choice of K_1. Therefore, G contains no path of length 3 between w and w', and thus γ also forms Conf(2) in G.

Suppose that γ is Conf(4); i.e., G_{K_2} contains a 5-face $f = v_1 \dots v_5$ with $v_1, \dots, v_4$ having degree three such that, denoting for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ the neighbor of v_i outside of f by u_i, $G_{K_2} - V(f)$ contains no path of length at most 2 between u_1 and u_4, and no path of length 1 or 3 between u_2 and u_3, and $u_1u_2, u_3u_4 \notin E(G_{K_2})$, and $v_1, \dots, v_4, u_1, \dots, u_4 \notin V(K_2)$. Clearly, γ forms Conf(4) in G as well, unless $G - V(f)$ contains a path $u_2zz'u_3$ of length three. As in the previous paragraph, this is only possible if $K_2 = zx_1x_2z'y_1y_2$, and letting C be the 6-cycle $u_3v_3v_2u_2zz'$, we have $G_C \subseteq G_{K_1}$, and since C separates x_1, x_2 from y_1, y_2, it is dangerous. Considering the 4-cycles $C_1 = zx_1x_2z'$ and $C_2 = zy_1y_2z'$, we can by symmetry assume that the closed disk bounded by C_2 contains both C and C_1 (and by the minimality in the choice of K_1,

we have $C_2 = K_1$). By the minimality in the choice of K_1, the cycle C_1 is not dangerous, and thus C_1 bounds a face. Therefore, $V(G_C) \subseteq V(G_{K_2})\backslash\{y_1, y_2\}$, which contradicts the minimality in the choice of K_2.

Finally, if γ is Conf(1), Conf(3), or Conf(5), then the vertices of γ whose degree is required to be equal to 2 or 3 are not incident with K_2, and thus their degree in G_{K_2} is the same as their degree in K. Consequently, γ is a reducible configuration in G as well. □

5 Independent sets

Our main result is now an easy consequence.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose for a contradiction that the claim is false, and there exists a tight graph $G \notin \mathcal{G}$. Choose such a graph with the minimum number of vertices, so that G is a minimum counterexample. By Corollary 13, G contains one of the configurations Conf(1), ..., Conf(5), which contradicts Lemma 11. □

References

[1] K. Appel and W. Haken, *Every planar map is four colorable, Part I: discharging*, Illinois J. of Math., 21 (1977), pp. 429–490.

[2] K. Appel, W. Haken, and J. Koch, *Every planar map is four colorable, Part II: reducibility*, Illinois J. of Math., 21 (1977), pp. 491–567.

[3] Z. Dvořák and M. Mnich, *Large independent sets in triangle-free planar graphs*, in Algorithms-ESA 2014, Springer, 2014, pp. 346–357.

[4] ——, *Large independent sets in triangle-free planar graphs*, arXiv e-prints, 1311.2749 (2014).

[5] Z. Dvořák, K. Kawarabayashi, and R. Thomas, *Three-coloring triangle-free planar graphs in linear time*, Trans. on Algorithms, 7 (2011), p. article no. 41.

[6] Z. Dvořák, J.-S. Sereni, and J. Volec, *Fractional coloring of triangle-free planar graphs*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 22 (2015), p. P4.11.

[7] M. R. Fellows, J. Guo, D. Marx, and S. Saurabh, *Data Reduction and Problem Kernels (Dagstuhl Seminar 12241)*, Dagstuhl Reports, 2 (2012), pp. 26–50.

[8] H. Grötzsch, *Ein Dreifarbensatz für Dreikreisfreie Netze auf der Kugel*, Math.-Natur. Reihe, 8 (1959), pp. 109–120.

[9] R. Niedermeier, *Invitation to fixed-parameter algorithms*, vol. 31 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[10] R. Steinberg and C. A. Tovey, *Planar Ramsey numbers*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 59 (1993), pp. 288–296.